International Journal of Novel Research in Healthcare and Nursing Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: <u>www.noveltyjournals.com</u>

# Effect of Nursing Education program on Knowledge,Uncertainty, Mastery, Pain, and Quality of Life for Knee Osteoarthritis Patients

Nadia Ahmed El-Adham<sup>1</sup>, Monira Samir Abdelhady<sup>2</sup>, Zeinab Hassan Hassan Osman<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lecture of Community Health Nursing Department, Faculty of nursing, Fayoum University

<sup>2</sup>Lecturer of Medical Surdical Nursing Department, Faculty of Nursing Fayoum University

<sup>3</sup>Lecturer of Psychiatric Mental Health Nursing , Faculty of nursing Fayoum University

\*Corresponding author: nadia.adham5@gmail.com

Abstract: Osteoarthritis, a progressively destructive joint disease causing varying degrees of unrelenting pain and excess suffering that affect negatively on patients' quality of life. The studyaimed tto assess, plan, implement and evaluate the effect of Nursing Education program on Knowledge, Uncertainty, Mastery, Pain, and Quality of Life for Knee Osteoarthritis Patients. Methods: Research design: A qui-experimental research design was utilized. Subjects and methods: A purposive sample of (80) adult patients were recruited for the conduction of this study. The study was conducted at orthopedic unit and outpatient clinics at Fayoum University Hospital. Tools: tool I: A self-administered questionnaire, tool II: Mishel Uncertainty in Illness, Mastery Scale, and the Arthritis Helplessness Index, tool III: Total quality of life, tool IV: Numerical Pain scale, and tool V: Nursing educational program was developed for patients with knee Osteoarthritis.Results:study sample involved 80 patients participants whose mean age was 47.50±12.18 years. More than half of them were females (61.3%), married (67.5%). More than one-third of them were hand workers (37.5%) and living in an urban area (55.0%). more than half of nurses had unsatisfactory knowledge about osteoarthritis before the nursing education while the majority of them (82.5%) had a satisfactory level of knowledge after implementation of the nursing education program. There were a statistically significant differences regarding Mishel Uncertainty in Illness scale, pain intensity, Mastery scale, and quality of life for patients pre and post-implementation of nursing education program.Conclusions:there were statistical significant differences regarding patients' knowledge, Uncertainty in Illness, pain intensity, Mastery, and quality of life for patients pre and post-implementation of nursing education.Recommendation: Relevant written and visual information to facilitate educatingpatients about proper coping with osteoarthritis.

Keywords: Nursing, Knowledge, Uncertainty, Mastery, Pain, Quality of Life, Osteoarthritis.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) has been described as a slowly progressive non-inflammatory disorder that is characterized by joint pain, stiffness and limited range of motion, and has been declared an international health burden by the World Health Organization (Altman et al., 2015).Osteoarthritis has high global health and economic burden and will become the fourth leading cause of disability by the year 2020, according to data from the World Health Organization. OA is one of the most common chronic conditions in elderly persons in developed societies, with a significant impact on the quality of life (London, 2016).

More up-to-date statistics from (Li CS et al., 2014) revealed that OA is the most prevalent musculoskeletal condition among people aged 65 years and older, causing limited mobility in 80% and with an additional 25% unable to perform activities of daily living. OA is now considered one of the ten most disabling diseases in developed countries and it is estimated that worldwide 18% of women and 9.6% of men over 60 years of age suffer from OA (Phillips et al., 2016)

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

OA is a chronic disease characterized by joint pain,tenderness, limitation of movement, crepitus, occasional effusion, and variable degrees of local inflammation. The disease process affects the articular cartilage and also involves the entire joint, including the subchondral bone, ligaments, capsule, synovial membrane, and particular muscles (Lübbeke et al., 2017).

OA occurs most frequently in people's hands, hips, knees, back, and neck (Messier et al., 2015). The characteristics of the disease are thickening of the joint capsule, progressive cartilage loss, and osteophyte formation, leading to disability (*Fransen, et al., 2015*). At present, the only treatment of the symptoms and treatment to prevent further development of the disease are available (Hunter and Eckstein, 2018).

Pain is the most common symptom experienced for patients with OA, often detrimentally impacting on their ability to cope with this progressive condition. Pain is a primary symptom of OA, causing disability among older people. It can create countless stresses and anxieties, particularly concerning reduced mobility or immobility, and the inability to perform everyday tasks (**Culliford et al., 2015**).

Control of pain is essential but depends not only on analgesic treatment but also on the many other aspects of care provided by the health professional (**Parvizi et al., 2014**). Successful pain management in OA requires careful assessment to clarify possible underlying causes and the impact the pain is having on the patient's life. Health promotion can also enhance the quality of life by encouraging and enabling social support and social activities, as well as preventing illness (**Bourne et al., 2018**).

Medication, exercise, and the use of heat and cold treatments are often prescribed to reduce pain and increase the ability to manage daily chores. Such treatment regimens have not only monetary but also psychosocial costs (**Segal et al., 2018**).People with OA frequently are referred to as rehabilitative exercise programs to improve muscle sensorimotor function, thereby reducing pain and disability. However, the pain and disability experienced by people with OA have psychosocial sequelae (Ferket et al., 2017).

Quality of life has multidimensional set of component consisting of person's physical well-being which is the control or relief of symptoms and the ability to have physical independence and capable of doing all the basic functions, psychological well-being which is related to sustain a sense of control in the face of life against illness and characterized by altered life priorities, emotional distress, and fear of the unknown as well as positive life change, social well-being is adjusted by the impact of illness on individuals, their roles and relationships and how good they can deal with those factors, and spiritual well-being which is depending on how good an individual can control uncertainty that is created by the hope and derive from the illness experience(**Moyer et al., 2015**).

The nurse approaches the individual who has a chronic illness, such as rheumatoid arthritis, as a holistic human being who is greater than the sum of the parts. Knowledge of both the whole and the parts is required to understand a person and to develop nursing educations to increase the quality of life. To understand this wholeness, the nurse must learn about the perceptions of the person who has osteoarthritis(**Sharif et al., 2017**).

Osteoartheritis can affect negatively on activity daily living of patients and their quality of life so, it is an important issue to increase their level of knowledge, coping stratiges to their pain, assess their certainity and mastery on their disease and thus clarify the need for designing this educational program.

## Significance of the study:

OA is now considered as one of the ten most disabling diseases in developed countries and it is estimated that worldwide 18% of women and 9.6% of men over 60 years of age suffer from OA (**Phillips et al., 2016**). So, this study was very useful to improve the quality of life to patients and reduce pain to increase productivity and mastery of life and decrease un certaintly and helplessness.

The study aimed to assess patients' knowledge about Osteoarthritis, design a nursing educational booklet for patients with Osteoarthritis and evaluate the effect of nursing educationon uncertainty, control of pain and quality of life (QOL) of knee osteoarthritis patients.

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

#### Hypotheses

• The mean knowledge score of patients post implementing nursing education will be higher than their score pre-nursing education implementation.

• The patients' scores of certainty of illness and mastery of disease will increase post implementing nursing education than pre-nursing education implementation.

• The level of pain for patients post implementing nursing education will be reduced than pre-nursing education implementation.

• The quality of patient life score post implementing nursing education will be higher than pre-nursing education implementation.

#### 2. SUBJECTS AND METHODS

**Study design:** A quasi-experimental (pre-\ post-test) research design was utilized in this study.

**Setting of the study:**This study was conducted in orthopedic unit and outpatient clinics at Fayoum University Hospital. Data collection extended over 10 months from the first of January (2017) until the end of October (2018).

#### Study sample:

A purposive sample of (80) adult patients were recruited at the time of admission rate per month conduction of this study regardless of their gender, residence, occupation, or level of education. This study was done from January to septamber 2018 .Subjects were recruited for the study with the following inclusion criteria, Adult patients, from both genders, conscious, diagnosed with knee osteoarthritis and free from physical & mental handicapped, and able to communicate with others. Patients who have chronic diseases that interfere with their self-care activities as (cerebral stroke, paralysis, handicapped)were excluded from the study.

The sample size was calculated to detect an improvement in the scores of pain (AIMS, VAS) and QoL with a moderate effect size (0.50 [sd=1.05]) at a 95% level of confidence and 80% power based on *Lakens*(2013).

#### Study tools:

Five tools were utilized to collect pertinent data for this study:

#### Tool (I): A self-administered questionnaire included:

It was developed by the researcher based on the literature review. It consists of three parts:

**Part 1: socio-demographic characteristics of the patients**: age, gender, marital status, family members occupation, and residence.

**Part 2:Medical history of the patients:** it was developed to assess the present medical history of the patients, Medical diagnosis, duration of illness, knee joints and other joints affected characteristics of pain and methods of treatment.

**Part 3:Assessment of patients' knowledge Pre**/ **Post nursing education:**It was developed to assess patient knowledge about knee osteoarthritides such as definition, causes, riskfactors, and treatment. It consisted of (20) true and false questions.

**Scoring system for knowledge;** one grade was given for the correctresponse while zero was given for unknown or incorrect responses. The total marks were 20, classified into two groups

#### - Satisfactory $\geq 60\% (\geq 12 \text{ marks})$

- Unsatisfactory <60 %(<12 marks)

#### Tool II: Mishel Uncertainty, the Arthritis Helplessness Index, and Mastery Scale:

Part 1:Mishel Uncertainty in Illness (MUIS):this tool was selected to measure uncertainty about arthritis. Although the original questionnaire was developed for use with hospitalized patients, Mishel (1984) had developed a 28 item

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

community versions that she advisedbeing used for this study. The 28 items of the questionnaire were scored on a fivepoint Likert scale from strongly agree (1) to strongly disagree (5), with a midpoint described as undecided. Some items were recoded so that the intent of the response was accurately measured; that is negatively worded item response was reversed.

**Scoring system forMUIS:**Total scores were calculated by adding all item scores, with the mean item score supplied for any missing value so that a possible range of scores was 28 to 140. Higher scores indicated less uncertainty or greater certainty.

The reliability of the scale was tested in this study and proved to be good with Cronbach alpha coefficients 0.72 for the MUIS scale.

**Part 2:The Arthritis Helplessness Index (AHI);** this tool was developed by **Nicassio et al. (1985)** to measure perceived control over the specific experience of having arthritis. AHI scale items focus on perceptions of abilities and inabilities to control arthritis. The 15 questionnaire items were rated on a four-point Likert scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree, with scores from 1 to 4 respectively.

**Scoring system forAHI:**Scores for several items were recoded to reverse-scored so that higher scores indicate greater control. Mean item scores were substituted for any missing value.

The reliability of the scale was tested in this study and proved to be good with Cronbach alpha coefficients 0.82 for the AHI scale.

Part 3: Mastery Scale: this scale has seven items for which the subject is asked to rate the strength of agreement or disagreement with each statement (Pearlin & Schooler, 1978).

**Scoring system forMastery Scale:**Items were coded so that strongly disagrees to strongly agree were scored as 1 to 4 respectively. Two items were recorded so that higher scores represented greater mastery. The seven-item scores were added with a possible range of scores from 7 to 28.

The reliability of the scale was tested in this study and proved to be good with Cronbach alpha coefficients 0.66 for the mastery scale.

#### Tool III: Total quality of life (QOL-RANDSF-36):

The RAND-36 is perhaps the most widely used health-related quality of life (HRQoL) survey instrument in the world today. It was developed by (*WHO*, *1996*). It is comprised of 36 items selected from a larger pool of items used in the RAND Medical Outcomes Study(MOS). Twenty of the items are administeredusing a past 4 weeks' reporting interval. RAND-36 assesses eight health concepts with multi-item scales(35 items): physical functioning (10 items), rolelimitations caused by physical health problems (4 items), role limitations caused by emotional problems (3 items), social functioning (2 items), emotional well-being (5 items), energy/fatigue (4 items), pain (2 items), and general health perceptions (5 items). An additional single item assesses change in perceived healthduring the last 12 months. Physical and mental healthsummary scores are also derived from the eight RAND-36 scales.

#### Scoring system fortotal quality of life (QOL-RANDSF-36):

The most common scoring approach for the RAND- 36 items was transforming every itemlinearly to a 0–100 possible range (percent of totalpossible score) and then averaging all items on thesame scale together. However, the Medical OutcomesTrust and the Health Outcomes Institute versions of the RAND-36 have minor deviations in scoring forone or both of the pain and general health scales.

The reliability of the scale was tested in this study and proved to be good with Cronbach alpha coefficients 0.62 for the QoL scale.

**Tools IV: Numerical Pain scale:** This tool was used to assess the level of pain for patients. It was developed by **Downie et al**, (1978) It is the most familiar scale used by the emergency medical system for rating pain with patients. It is primarily for adults and is based on the patient being able to express their perception of the pain as related to numbers. Avoid coaching the patient; simply ask them to rate their pain on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 is no pain at all and 10 is the worst pain ever.

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

## Tool V: Nursing educational program for patients with knee Osteoarthritis (teaching booklet):

A nursing educational program was based on patient's needs, researcher experience and opinion of the medical and nursing expertise. This booklet aimed at providing odteoartheritis patients with sufficient amount of knowledge and skills for helping them dealing effectively with their problem.

It includes:

- Definition of osteoarthritis.
- Causes of osteoarthritis
- Signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis
- Physiotherapy, hydrotherapy, and the most important exercise that should be done.
- Dietary treatment of osteoarthritis and Food that should be avoid
- Alternatives treatment of osteoarthritis as the role of essential oils in osteoarthritis

## **Content validity:**

The tool was rigorously revised by (5 experts) one expert in community health nursing and 2 experts in medical - surgical nursing department, 2 experts in psychiatric nursing department. Who reviewed the tools for clarity, relevance, comprehensiveness, understanding, applicability, and easiness, minor modifications were required.

#### **Ethical considerations:**

All research ethics principles were fulfilled according to *Helsinki Declaration(1983)*. The patients were briefed with the purpose of the study and the data collection procedures. They informed about their rights to refuse or withdraw at any time with no consequences. They were reassured about the confidentiality of any obtained information. Their agreement to fill the questionnaire was considered as consent to participate in the study.Data were assured anonymity and confidentiality by using code numbers

## **Pilot study:**

A pilot study was done on 10% (8 pts.) of the sample to test the clarity and feasibility of the developed tool. It had also provided an estimate of the time needed to fill out the tools. The purpose of the pilot study was:

- Ensure the clarity of designed study tools.
- Examine the utility of designed tools.
- Identify any difficulties or problems needed to handle before applying it.

#### Procedures

The study proceeded using the following phases:

## Assessment phase:

- In this phase, the researchers were using the constructed tools to collect data about the patient's knowledge and experience related to osteoarthritis. The purpose of the study and its expectations were explained by the researchers to the studied patients before starting interviewing and data collection.

- Socio-demographic and medical data were established using tool I (parts 1 and 2).
- Assessment of patient's knowledge was done using (tool I part 3) pre and post nursing education.

- Assessment of Mishel Uncertainty in Illness, patient's Arthritis Helplessness Index and Mastery Scale were done using (tool II parts 1, 2, and 4) pre and postnursing education.

- Assessment of the patient's quality of life was done using (tool III) pre and postnursing education.

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

#### • Planning phase :

After determining the objectives of nursing education, the educational program was designed by the researchers in Arabic language in light of the literature review. It was revised, organized and the content validity was checked and it prepared according to patients' educational needs. It included knowledge about osteoarthritis and majors guidelines to control of pain (four sessions).

#### Implementation phase:

- Patients were divided into small groups (3-5 patients/session) each group perceived the same nursing education content using the same teaching strategies and handout.

- Each session lasted for not less than one hour.

- Explanation ofnursing educational booklets using PowerPoint presentation, discussion, demonstration, and redemonstration was also conducted during each session.

- Implementation ofnursing education content divided into two types of sessions: educational and training sessions.

- The first two sessions were covered general and specific objectives of nursing education, knowledge about osteoarthritis was covering; types, causes, complications both early and late complications, adaptationfor life with diseases.

- The second two sessions covered nursing educationon nutrition for patients, managing physiological and psychological problems with diseases, and how to cope with pain.

- After each session, there was 5-10 min for discussion & feedback.
- The researcher used pictures and diagrams to help them retain the learned material.
- and were collected using the same study tools.

#### Evaluation phase:

- It aimed to reassess patients after the implementation of a nursing educational program o identify progress in terms of differences in their level of response from baseline.

- It was done 3 months after the implementation of nursing education for knowledge and practice and after 3months for the quality of life by using the same tools used in the pretest period.

- Comparison between the collected data before and after the implementation of nursing education was done to determine the effectiveness of nursing education in the improvement of quality of life for patients with osteoarthritis.

- Follow up and assessing patients help to detect transient changes, increase patients' adaptation and compliance to the nursing education.

#### Statistical design:

The data were tested for normality using the Anderson- Darling test for homogeneity variances before further statistical analysis. Categorical variables were described by number and percent (N, %), where continuous variables described by the mean and standard deviation (mean, SD). Chi-square and Fisher exact tests used to compare categorical variables where compare continuous variables by t-test. A two-tailed p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analysis was performed with the IBM SPSS 23.0 software.

## 3. RESULTS

#### Table 1: Percentage distribution of demographic data for patients participant n=80

Variables	Ν	%
Age Mean ±SD	47.50±12.18	5
Sex		
• male	31	38.8
• female	49	61.3

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

Marital status							
• single	11	13.8					
married	54	67.5					
• wind\divorce	15	18.8					
Occupation							
no working	27	33.8					
office work	23	28.8					
handwork	30	37.5					
Residence							
• urban	44	55.0					
• rural	36	45.0					
Number of children	3.72±1.55						

**Table 1:** This table showed that: The study sample involved 80 patients participants whose mean age was  $47.50\pm12.18$  years. More than half of them were females (61.3%), married (67.5%). More than one-third of them were hand workers (37.5%) and living in an urban area (55.0%).

Table 2: Percentage distribution	n of medical data for a	a patient participant	(n=80)
----------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------	--------

Variables	Ν	%					
Pain level							
• continuous	28	35.0					
• Intermittent	52	65.0					
Pain with							
• movement	58	72.5					
• rest	22	27.5					
Pain level							
• mild	10	12.5					
• moderate	48	60.0					
• sever	22	27.5					
Treatment							
medication	71	88.8					
• physiotherapy	9	11.3					

**Table (2):** indicated that more than half of patients experienced intermittent pain (65.0%), the pain increased with movement (72.5%) and (88.8%) of them their pain relieved with medication.





Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

Figure (1):indicated that more than half of patients had unsatisfactory knowledge about osteoarthritis before the nursing educationwhile the majority of them (82.5%) hada satisfactory level of knowledge afterimplementation of the nursing education.

## Table 3: Percentage distribution of Mishel Uncertainty in Illness scale pre and post-implementation of nursing education (n=80):

Items	Follow up	Mean±SD	p.v
1. I do not know what is wrong with me	Pre	3.75+0.803	.483ns
	Post	3.83±.770	
2. I have many questions without answers	Pre	3.82±.689	.175ns
	Post	3.96±.583	
3. I'm not sure if my illness is getting better or worse	Pre	3.53±.927	.050*
	Post	3.81±.828	
4. It is not clear to me how bad my symptoms maybe	Pre	3.66±.899	.001**
	Post	4.125±.801	1
5. The explanation they give about my situation seems unclear to me	Pre	3.46±.953	.028*
	Post	3.08±1.171	
6. The purpose of care provided to me is obvious to me	Pre	3.47±.967	.013*
	Post	3.85±.929	
7. When I suffer symptoms, they continue to change unexpectedly	Pre	3.80±.801	.547ns
	Post	3.87±.769	
8. My symptoms are still changing in a way I do not expect	Pre	3.67±.838	.388ns
	Post	3.78±.806	
9. I understand everything explained to me	Pre	3.15±.956	.027*
	Post	3.51±1.090	
10. Doctors tell me things can have many meanings	Pre	3.60±1.050	538ns
	Post	3.70±.998	
11. The care I receive is so complex that it is unimaginable	Pre	3.43±1.100	.141ns
	Post	3.68±1.038	
12. It is difficult to tell if the care or medications that I am receiving help	Pre	3.32±1.099	040*
	Post	3.65±.969	.049*
13. Because of my unpredictability, I cannot plan for the future	Pre	3.38±1.024	527ng
	Post	3.28±1.021	.337118
14. My illness is always changing: I have good days and bad ones	Pre	3.72±.856	005**
	Post	4.05±.571	.005**
15. I have received many different opinions about what my problem is	Pre	$3.65 \pm .994$	422mg
	Post	3.77±.967	.422118
16. I do not know exactly what will happen to me	Pre	3.63±.917	219ng
	Post	3.81±.872	.210118
17. I usually know whether my day would be good or bad	Pre	3.37±.932	274ng
	Post	3.53±.940	.274118
18. The results of my tests are not consistent in one format	Pre	3.17±1.122	100mg
	Post	3.40±1.026	.100115
19. The effectiveness of care provided to me is not specific	Pre	3.38±1.108	.002**
	Post	3.88±.885	
20. I can generally predict the course of my case	Pre	2.96±1.072	001**
	Post	3.88±.616	.001***
21. What I can do and what I cannot do is changed because of my condition	Pre	3.68±.922	007**
	Post	4.03±.664	.007***
22. I'm sure they will not find anything abnormal for me	Pre	3.33±.856	001**
	Post	3.81±.713	.001***
23. The probability of success of the care I receive here is known	Pre	3.33±.745	001**
	Post	4.07±.309	.001***

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

24. They did not give me a specific diagnosis	Pre	3.37±.862	010*					
	Post	2.92±1.438	.018*					
25. Physical fatigue is predictable, I know when things will get better or	Pre	3.20±1.048	.001**					
worse	Post	$4.01 \pm .490$						
26. Diagnosing a specific case will not change	Pre	$3.150 \pm .901$	.001**					
	Post	$4.01 \pm .435$						
27. The seriousness of my case has been determined	Pre	3.00±1.031	.001**					
	Post	$4.01 \pm .463$						
28. Doctors and nurses use everyday language so that I can understand what	Pre	3.35±1.021	.001**					
they are saying	Post	$4.07 \pm .382$						
Total Mishel uncertainty in illness total score (28 to 140)								
Less uncertainty or greater certainty	Pre	96.43±12.44	001**					
	Post	105.48±7.99	.001					

Independent t-test \*= highly significance,  $p \le 0.01$  \*= highly significance,  $p \le 0.05$  ns = not significance, p > 0.0

**Table 3:** This table showed that there were a statistically significantces different regard all items of Mishel Uncertainty in Illness scale pre and post-implementation of nursing educationexcept 1, 2, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, with ns = not significance, p>0.0

## Table 4: Percentage distribution of Arthritis Helplessness Index for patient participant before and after education (n=80)

Variables	Follow up	dis	Str. agree	dis	agree	A	gree	St	rong gree	P.v
	-	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	
1. Arthritis controls my life	pre	-	-	18	22.5	38	47.5	24	30.0	.05*
	Post	-	-	7	8.8	46	57.5	27	33.8	
2. Dealing with myasthenia is largely my	pre	-	-	14	17.5	49	61.3	17	21.3	0.001**
responsibility	Post	-	-	0	0	61	76.3	19	23.8	
3. I can relieve my pain by calm and	pre	-	-	23	28.8	46	57.5	11	13.8	0.001**
relaxing	Post	-	-	3	3.8	64	80.0	13	16.3	
4. Often, it seems to me that pain hurts	pre	-	-	15	18.8	56	70.0	9	11.3	0.001**
me in terms of I do not know	Post	-	-	0	0	70	87.5	10	12.5	
5. If you do all the right things, I can	pre	-	-	22	27.5	40	50.0	18	22.5	0.001**
treat my arthritis successfully	Post	-	-	2	2.5	60	75.0	18	22.5	
6. I can do a lot of things myself to deal	pre	7	8.8	28	35.0	36	45.0	9	11.3	1.00NS
with arthritis	Post	7	8.8	28	35.0	36	45.0	9	11.3	
7. When it comes to arthritis, I feel that I	pre	-	-	24	30.0	43	53.8	13	16.3	0.33ns
can only do what my doctor asks me to do	Post	-	-	16	20.0	50	62.5	14	17.5	
8. When I manage my personal life well,	pre	3	3.8	36	45.0	24	30.0	17	21.3	0.002**
the inflammation of my joints does not ignite much	Post	0	0	19	23.8	46	57.5	15	18.8	
9. I have a great ability to control my	pre	10	12.5	28	35.0	28	35.0	14	17.5	0.002**
pain	Post	8	10.0	10	12.5	50	62.5	12	15.0	
10. I will feel helpless if I cannot rely on	pre	-	-	33	41.3	37	46.3	10	12.5	0.001**
other people to help my arthritis	Post	-	-	9	11.3	61	76.3	10	12.5	
11. Usually, I can tell when my arthritis	pre	-	-	35	43.8	30	37.5	15	18.8	0.001**
will start	Post	-	-	13	16.3	52	65.0	15	18.8	
12. No matter what I do or how difficult	pre	-	-	31	38.8	31	38.8	18	22.5	0.002**
it is to try, I do not seem to be comfortable with my arthritis	post	-	-	12	15.0	50	62.5	18	22.5	
13. I adapt effectively with myositis	pre	4	5.0	35	43.8	29	36.3	12	15.0	0.001**

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

	post	2	2.5	13	16.3	52	65.0	13	16.3	
14. It seems that fate and other factors	pre	5	6.3	24	30.0	38	47.5	13	16.3	0.09ns
beyond my control affect my arthritis	post	0	0.0	20	25.0	47	58.8	13	16.3	
15. I want to know as much as I can	pre	-	-	23	28.8	39	48.8	18	22.5	0.75 ns
about arthritis	post	-	-	19	23.8	43	53.8	18	22.5	
Feeling Arthritis Helplessness( total sco	re) 15-60									
Feeling Arthritis Helplessness					Mea	ns ±SI	)			
	Pre	Pre 42.137±6.135						0.001**		
	post				45.23	7±4.5	31			

Chi-Square TestsIndependent t-test\*=Significant difference, $*p \le 0.05$ Ns= Non significantdifferencep < 0.05\*\*= highly significance, $p \le 0.01$ 

**Table 4:** This table indicated that there was a statistically significant difference regarding all items of Arthritis Helplessness Index pre and post-implementation of nursing education with  $p \le 0.001$ .

Table 5: Percentage distribution of Masterna	ery Scale for patient participan	t before and after education (n=80)

Variables	Follow	S	Str.	dis	agree	A	gree	St	rong	p.v
	up	dis	agree					agree		
		Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	
I have little control over things that	pre	2	2.5	15	18.8	49	61.3	14	17.5	0.008**
happen to me	Post	0	0.0	3	3.8	63	78.8	14	17.5	
There is no way I can address some	pre	2	2.5	22	27.5	47	58.8	9	11.3	0.001**
of the problems I face	Post	0	0.0	2	2.5	69	86.3	9	11.3	
I cannot do much to change many	pre	2	2.5	34	42.5	37	46.3	7	8.8	0.03*
important things in my life	Post	0	0.0	20	25.0	53	66.3	7	8.8	
I often feel very helpless in dealing	pre	2	2.5	31	38.8	28	35.0	19	23.8	0.62ns
with the problems of life	Post	1	1.3	25	31.3	35	43.8	19	23.8	
Sometimes I feel driven in life	pre	3	3.8	24	30.0	41	51.3	12	15.0	0.37ns
	Post	1	1.3	17	21.3	50	62.5	12	15.0	
What will happen to me in the	pre	5	6.3	23	28.8	39	48.8	13	16.3	0.001**
future depends on myself often	Post	0	0.0	2	2.5	65	81.3	13	16.3	
I can do almost anything I decide in	pre	3	3.8	29	36.3	39	48.8	9	11.3	0.001**
myself to do	Post	0	0.0	2	2.5	69	86.3	9	11.3	
Mastery Scale total score7-28										
Mastery Scale total score		Means ±SD						<b>0.</b> 001**		
	pre	pre 19.33±3.260								
	Post				21.10	$\pm 2.452$	2			

#### Chi-Square Tests and Independent t-test

\*=Significant difference, \* $p \le 0.05$  Ns= Non significant difference p < 0.05 \*\*= highly significance,  $p \le 0.01$ 

its its is mean and include provide inging significance, p\_0.01

**Table 5:** This table shows that there was a statistically significant different regard all items of the Mastery Scale for patient participants pre and post-implementation of nursing education with  $p \le 0.001$ .

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com



## Figure (2) Percentage distribution of pain intensity in osteoarthritis patients before and after education n=80

Figure (2):this figure indicates that the mean score of pain intensity post implementing nursing education was reduced compared with the mean score pre-nursing education implementation.

Variables	Ν	pre	Post	p.v
		Means ± SD	Means ± SD	
Activities during a normal day	80	17.51±5.96	$20.45{\pm}~5.03$	0.001**
Physical health	80	1.33±1.51	2.66± 1.33	0.001**
Psychological health	80	$1.01 \pm 1.71$	1.09±1.17	0.001**
Physical and psychological health	80	40.87±8.93	45.40±12.83	0.01*
Total score QOL	80	89.00±13.093	95.41±15.922	.006**

Independent t-test\*=Significant difference, \*p $\leq$ 0.05 Ns= Non significant difference p<0.05 \*\*= highly significance, p $\leq$ 0.01

**Table (6):**This table declared that there were a statistically significant differences regarding all domains of QOL for patient participants pre and post-implementation of nursing education with  $p \le 0.01$ .

	1 1.1.1.4	N / 1 1 TT / 1 / 1	
Table (7): Correlation bet	ween demographic data.	Mishel Uncertainty, pain	intensity and quality of life. $n = 80$
		<i>J</i> /1	· 1 ·

	Spearman's rho Correlations									
Variable's	Follow	Know	Knowledge		Mishel Uncertainty		pain intensity		quality of life	
	up	Cor.	Sig.	Cor.	Sig.	Cor.	Sig.	Cor.	Sig.	
Age	Pre	.137	.225	.113	.317	.312	.027	.501**	.000	
	Post	192	.087	.088	.435	.126	.339	.483**	.000	
Sex	Pre	154	.173	101	.372	172	.233	.145	.201	
	Post	.116	.308	071	.533	044	.739	033	.773	
Marital status	Pre	.276*	.013	.062	.584	.367**	.009	.273*	.014	
	Post	045	.689	.068	.547	.058	.660	.167	.138	
Occupation	Pre	.036	.752	061	.591	262	.066	339**	.002	
	Post	.317**	.004	011	.921	.051	.701	296**	.008	
Residence	Pre	.318**	.004	.331**	.003	.070	.629	.142	.210	
	Post	126	.266	.324**	.003	173	.186	.360**	.001	

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).\*

**Table (7):** This table showed that: A positive correlationsamong age, occupation, residence and quality of life at pre and post implementing nursing education, with knowledge. While there was no relation betweenpain intensity and demographic dataexcept with marital status at pre-intervention.

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

 Table (8): Correlation between patients' knowledge, Mishel Uncertainty, pain intensity, and quality of life scales(n=80).

Variable's	Spearman's rho Correlations								
	Follow	Knowledge		Mishel Uncertainty		pain intensity		quality of life	
	up	Cor.	Sig.	Cor.	Sig.	Cor.	Sig.	Cor.	Sig.
Mishel Uncertainty	Pre	.092	.416			.122	.401	.131	.245
	Post	112	.323			185	.157	.181	.109
pain intensity	Pre	.084	.560	.122	.401			.600**	.000
	Post	.509**	.000	185	.157			121	.357
quality of life	Pre	.042	.712	.131	.245	.600**	.000		
	Post	215*	.056	.181	.109	121	.357		

**Table (8)**: This table decleared that a Positive correlationsamong knowledge, pain intensity and quality of lifepre and post implementing nursing education was detected. Also, a Positive correlation was found between quality of life and pain intensity pre implementing nursing education.

## 4. **DISCUSSION**

Osteoarthritis, a progressively destructive joint disease causing varying degrees of unrelenting pain and excess suffering is highly prevalent among all older populations (**Sinusas, 2017**). The study aimed to assess patients' knowledge about Osteoarthritis, design a nursing educational program forpatients with Osteoarthritis and evaluate the effect of nursing education on uncertainty, mastery, control of pain and quality of life (QOL) of knee osteoarthritis patients.

Eighty patients were involved in the study their mean age was  $47.50\pm12.18$  years. More than half of them were females, married. More than one-third of them were hand workers and living in an urban area. *Amoako and Pujalte(2014)* were agreed with the study results and revealed that, the patients' participant' mean age was 40+ years old, most of the cases of (OA) occur in women, frequently starting at childbearing age. Also, *Kozora et al. (2015)*, indicated that the majority of the sample were females whereas only a few were males. The current study revealed that just more than half of the sample weremarried, which constituted a big problem for their future a consequence would affect their self-esteem. This result was agreeable with *Bennell et al., (2018)*, whose sample showed that just more than half of the samplewas married.

The current study revealed that more than half of patients experienced intermittent pain, the pain increased with movement and the majority of them their pain relieved with medications. *Creedon and O'Regan, (2010)* agreed with the current study results as they mentioned that "Pain is the most common symptom experienced for patientswith OA, often detrimentally impacting on their ability tocope with this progressive condition. Control of pain isessential, but depends not only on analgesic treatment butalso on the many other aspects of care provided by the healthprofessional". *Hunter and Riordan (2014)* disagreed with this results as they reported that "Eighty-seven percent ofrespondents reported that their pain tended to changein intensity, with exercise and cold weather producing significantly increased levels of pain".

Also, there was a positive correlation between the quality of life and pain intensity pre implementing nursing education. *Bartley et al*, (2017) reported that "It is essential to determining the limitation inphysical activity of any individual with OA, as pain plays a significant role in how an individual copes with this condition daily".

More than half of nurses have unsatisfactory knowledge about osteoarthritis before the nursing education while the majority of them had a satisfactory level of knowledge after the implementation of nursing education. This result was supported by a study carried out by *Sinikallio et al.*, (2018) who observed that nearly two-thirds of patients having osteoarthritis having statistically significant differences regarding their knowledge about osteoarthritis. Also, *Witjeset al.*, (2017) stated that more than half of the mothers having osteoarthritis gain knowledge about the osteoarthritis after program intervention

There were a statistically significant differences regarding all items of Mishel Uncertainty in Illness scale pre and postimplementation of nursing education except some insignificant questions with p > 0.01. From the researcher's point of view, this may occur because the patientsgain knowledge and practice regarding the disease and became having selfconfidencethat increases their quality of life. This result wassupported by a study carried out by *van Asselt (2016)* who

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

observed that nearly two-thirds of patients withosteoarthritishaving statistically significant difference regarding their Mishel Uncertainty scale after programintervention.

Regarding the Arthritis Helplessness Index and Mastery Scale, the results of the current study illustrated that the sample hadahighlya statistically significant difference = P < 0.001. From the researchers' point of view, patients felt helpless when they believe that they have little control over a situation and any efforts they may be made to resolve their problems will be unsuccessful. High levels of helplessness are associated with greater pain, depression, and disability. The chronic nature of OA, our poor understanding of its cause, and our inability to correct entrenched health beliefs about the prognosis and management of OA foster feelings of helplessness. So, patients have osteoarthritisstay away from society to avoid embarrassment. Besides, patients have social isolation as well as financial needs, suffer from the unavailability of suitable treatment, suffer from the high cost of medications and suffer from high prices of physical therapy.

This result was supported by *Fiatarone*, (2017) who clarified that two-thirds of the patientshave osteoarthritisimproved their condition and gain more tolerance to face their problem after an intervention. Also, the results revealed that the sample has a highly statistically significant differenceP<0.001 regarding Mastery Scaleafterintervention beforethan the program because the patients have increased self-confidence in their ability to perform tasks, achieve certain goals and increase independence after the program.

Regarding the quality of life, the current study revealed that, there was a statistically significant difference regarded to all domains of QOL for the participants pre and post-implementation of nursing education with  $p \le 0.01$ . *Veale et al.*, (2008) were disagreeing with the present study as they found that, patients diagnosed with OA reported a significantlyreduced quality of life relative to people who fulfilled the criteria for OA but had not yet been informed of their 'diagnosis'. This would appear to indicate that psychological factors play a major role in the pain and disability associated with arthritis, and highlights the need to address psychosocial health in any effective patient-centric management program.

However, *Sakalauskien and Jauniaikien*, (2010) agreed with the study results as they reported that "Given that osteoarthritis, the fourth most common cause of loss offunction leading to disability among adults in most developed countries is irreversible, and does not respond well to medicalintervention, efforts to limit, reduce, or prevent osteoarthritisdisability appear essential in efforts to avert undue suffering, and tofoster the patient's desire to remain independent". Also, *Bennell et al*, (2012) mentioned that "This is borne out in the finding that almost half of the patients regard their inability to carry out activities of daily living as the worst impact of their arthritis. Stairs, jar lids, cleaning, and dressing were singled out as being particularly problematic, with the majority of respondents requiring help performing the activity, or avoiding it entirely".

## 5. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the present study, it can be concluded that:

• More than half of nurses have unsatisfactory knowledge about osteoarthritis before the nursing education, while the majority of them have a satisfactory level of knowledge after the implementation of nursing education.

• There was a statistically significant differences regarding all items of Mishel Uncertainty in Illness scale pre and post-implementation of nursing education with  $p \le 0.001$ .

• There was a statistically significant difference with all items of Arthritis Helplessness Index pre and post-implementation of nursing education with  $p \le 0.001$ .

• There was a statistically significant differences related to all items of Mastery Scale for patient participants pre and post-implementation of nursing education with  $p \le 0.001$ .

• The mean score of pain intensity post implementing nursing education was reduced compared with the mean score pre-nursing education implementation.

Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September - December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

• There was a statistically significant differences regarding all domains of QOL for patient participants pre and post-implementation of nursing education with  $p \le 0.01$ .

• A Positive correlation between knowledge, pain intensity and quality of life pre and post implementing nursing education was detected. Also, a Positive correlation was found between quality of life and pain intensity pre implementing nursing education.

#### 6. RECOMMENDATIONS

• Availability of relevant written and visual information in OA clinics or out patents to facilitate educating patients about proper coping with osteoarthritis.

• Further studies on larger samples from different geographical areas in Egypt to generalize the results.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Altman RD, Schemitsch E, Bedi A. Assessment of clinical practice guideline methodology for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis with intra-articular hyaluronic acid. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2015; 45:132-9.
- [2] Amoako AO and Pujalte G A.Osteoarthritis in Young, Active, and Athletic Individuals. Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord. 2014; 7: 27–32.
- [3] Bartley E, Palit S, Staud R. Predictors of Osteoarthritis Pain: The Importance of Resilience, Curr Rheumatol Rep. 2017 Sep; 19(9): 57.
- [4] Bennell KL, Hunter DJ, Hinman RS (2018) Management of osteoarthritis of the knee. BMJ 345, e4934.
- [5] Bennell KL, Hunter DJ, Hinman RS.Management of osteoarthritis of the knee. BMJ(2012). 345, e4934.
- [6] Bourne RB, Chesworth BM, Davis AM, et al. Patient satisfaction after total knee arthroplasty: Who is satisfied and who is not? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2018;468:57-63.
- [7] Creedon R, O'Regan P. Palliative care, pain control and nurseprescribing. Nurse Prescribing (2010), 8(6): 257-64.
- [8] Culliford D, Maskell J, Judge A, et al. Future projections of total hip and knee arthroplasty in the UK: results from the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2015;23:594-600.
- [9] Downie WW, Leatham PA, Rhind VM, Wright V, Branco JA, Anderson JA. Studies with pain rating scales. Ann Rheum Dis 1978;37:378–81.
- [10] Ferket BS, Feldman Z, Zhou J, et al. Impact of total knee replacement practice: cost-effectiveness analysis of data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *BMJ* 2017;356:j1131.
- [11] Fiatarone Singh, M. Exercise comes of age: rationale and recommendationsfor a geriatric exercise prescription. J. Gerontol. Med. Sci. 57A: M262–M282, 2017.
- [12] Fransen M, McConnell S, Harmer AR, et al. Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;(1):CD004376.
- [13] Helsinki Declaration Adopted by the 18th World Medical Assembly, Helsinki, Finland, June 1964, amended by the 29th World Medical Assembly, Tokyo, Japan, October 1975, and the 35th World Medical Assembly, Venice, Italy, October 1983.
- [14] Hunter D J. and Riordan E A. (2014): The impact of arthritis on pain and quality of life: an Australian survey International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases; 17: 149–155
- [15] Hunter DJ, Eckstein F. Exercise and osteoarthritis. J Anat 2018;214:197-207.

- Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (867-881), Month: September December 2019, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com
- [16] Kozora E, Ellison M, Waxmonsky J, Wamboldt F, Patterson T (2015). Major life stress, coping styles, and social support concerning psychological distress in patients with Osteoarthritis 14:363–372. Lash AA (2014). Quality of life in Osteoarthritis. Appl Nurs Res 11:130–137.
- [17] Lakens D. Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. Front Psychol. 2013; 4: 863.
- [18] Li CS, Karlsson J, Winemaker M, et al. Orthopedic surgeons feel that there is a treatment gap in the management of early OA: international survey. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2014;22:363-78.
- [19] London NJ, Miller LE, Block JE. Clinical and economic consequences of the treatment gap in knee osteoarthritis management. *Med Hypotheses* 2016;76:887-92.
- [20] Lübbeke A, Katz JN, Perneger TV, et al. Primary and revision hip arthroplasty: 5-year outcomes and influence of age and comorbidity. J Rheumatol 2017;34:394-400.
- [21] Messier SP, Gutekunst DJ, Davis C, et al. Weight loss reduces knee-joint loads in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*2015;52:2026-32.
- [22] Mishel MH. Perceived uncertainty and stress in illness. Research in Nursing and Health. 1984;7:163–171
- [23] Moyer RF, Birmingham TB, Bryant DM, et al. Valgus bracing for knee osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2015;67:493-501.
- [24] Nicassio PM, Wallston KA, Callahan LF, Herbert M, Pincus T. The measurement of helplessness in rheumatoid arthritis. The development of the arthritis helplessness index. J Rheumatol. 1985 Jun;12(3):462-7.
- [25] Parvizi J, Nunley RM, Berend KR, et al. High level of residual symptoms in young patients after total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2014;472:133-7.
- [26] Pearlin LI, Schooler C. The structure of coping. J Health Soc Behav. 1978;19:2–21. doi: 10.2307/2136319.
- [27] Phillips S, Silvia Li C, Phillips M, et al. Treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee with bracing: a scoping review. *Orthop Rev (Pavia)* 2016;8:6256.
- [28] Sakalauskien AG, Jauniaikien AD. Osteoarthritis: etiology,epidemiology, impact on the individual and society and the mainprinciples of management. Medicine (Kaunas)(2010) 46: 790-797.
- [29] Segal NA, Glass NA, Felson DT, et al. Effect of quadriceps strength and proprioception on risk for knee osteoarthritis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2018;42:2081-8.
- [30] Sharif B, Garner R, Hennessy D, et al. Productivity costs of work loss associated with osteoarthritis in Canada from 2018 to 2031. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2017;25:249-58.
- [31] Sinikallio SH, Helminen EE, Valjakka AL, Väisänen-Rouvali RH, Arokoski JP(2018) Multiple psychological factors are associated with poorer functioning in a sample of community-dwelling knee osteoarthritis patients. J Clin Rheumatol 20: 261-267.
- [32] Sinusas K.Osteoarthritis: diagnosis and treatment. Am Fam Physician (2017), 85: 49-56.
- [33] Van Asselt, M. B. A., and Rotmans, J. "Uncertainty in Perspective." Global Environmental Change, . (2016), 6(2), 121-157.
- [34] Veale DJ, Woolf AD, Carr AJ. Chronic musculoskeletalpain and arthritis: impact, attitudes, and perceptions. Ir Med J(2008), 101, 208–10.
- [35] Witjes S, van Geenen RCI, Koenraadt KLM, et al. Expectations of younger patientsconcerning activities after knee arthroplasty: Are we asking the right questions? *Qual Life Res* 2017;26:403-17.
- [36] WHO. QOL–RANDSF-36.introduction, administration, scoring and generic version of the assessment. Available, 1996. at: http://www.who.int/mental\_health/media/en/76.pdf